PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003P06167WO			FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No.		International filing d	nte (day/monthhyear)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP2004/004740		04.05.2004		18.06.2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. G05B19/05 G05B19/418									
Applicant SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT									
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2.	This REPORT con	sists of a total of	7	sheets, includir	ng this cover sheet.				
3. ·	This report is also	accompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising	:					
	a. (sent to	the applicant and	to the International Bi	ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:				
	a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative								
		istructions). neets which supers	ede earlier sheets hut	which this Authority cor	nsiders contain an amendment that goes hevond				
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.								
	b. (sent to	the International	Bureau only) a total of	(indicate type and number	er of electronic carrier(s))				
					, containing a sequence listing and/or tables				
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4.	This report contain	s indications relati	ng to the following iter	ms:					
(Box No. I	Basis of the	report						
[Box No. II	Priority							
[Box No. II	I Non-establi	shment of opinion with	n regard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability				
[Box No. IV	Lack of unit							
[Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
[Box No. V	I Certain doc	uments cited						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report				nis report					
					•				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			- ····	Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.				Telephone No.					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004740

Box	No. I		Basis of the report	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1.			to the language, this report is based on the internation der this item.	nal application in the language in whi	ch it was filed, unless otherwise			
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:							
			international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))					
			publication of the international application (Rule 12.4))				
			international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)				
2.	With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to to receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed this report):							
		the in	ternational application as originally filed/furnished					
	M	the de	escription:					
		pages			as originally filed/furnished			
		pages						
	_	pages	*	received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the cla	aims:					
		nos.	1-24		as originally filed/furnished			
		nos.*		as amended (together wi	th any statement) under Article 19			
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	·			
		nos.*		received by this Authority on				
	\boxtimes	the dr	awings:					
		sheets	1/3-3/3		as originally filed/furnished			
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on	<u> </u>			
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on				
		a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listin	ng.			
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description, pages					
			the claims, nos.					
			the drawings, sheets/figs					
			the sequence listing (specify):					
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.			report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil					
			the description, pages					
			the drawings, sheets/figs	·				
			the sequence listing (specify):					
1								
*	If ite		plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004740

Box	No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1.	Statement	
	Novelty (N) Claims 1-24	YES
	Claims	NO
	Inventive step (IS) Claims	YES
	Claims 1-24	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-24	YES
		NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)	
	This statement refers to the following documents (D); the	
	same numbering will be used throughout the proceedings:	
	D1: EP-A-1 296 232 (SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMAT) 26	
	March 2003 (2003-03-26)	
	D2: WO 97/26587 A (WENDEL VOLKER; SIEMENS AG (DE);	
	STRIPF WOLFGANG (DE)) 24 July 1997 (1997-07-24)	
	D3: SIEMENS AG, PRESSSEABTEILUNG: "Siemens verleiht	
	Erfinderpreis 2002" 17 December 2002 (2002-12-	
	17), SIEMENS AG, MUNICH, XP002294786, found on	
	the Internet: URL:http://w4.siemens.de/ct/	
	de/news/2002_2 003/ct200212004d.pdf>	
	D4: DE 101 32 036 A (SIEMENS AG) 23 January 2003	
	(2003-01-23)	
	D5: DE 101 38 533 A (SIEMENS AG) 11 July 2002	
	(2002-07-11)	
	1. The subject matter of claim 1 does not meet the	
	requirements of inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))	
	with respect to D1 and D4:	
	D1 discloses a method for programming and/or	
	executing programs for industrial automation	

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004740

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

systems (paragraph [0001]), wherein modules and functions are modelled and/or established and are structured and networked with input means (paragraph [0022]: the graphic establishment of "Function Block Diagrams" requires precisely these steps), and therefore the latter, as at least one machine-independent program, form at least one hierarchical tree (column 8, lines 40-42 and paragraph [0025], cf. also D5, page 2, lines 54-55 and page 3, lines 22-24: XML documents have the structure of hierarchical trees).

The difference between the subject matter of claim 1 and that of D1 is that the program in D1, prior to being loaded and executed in a component of the automation system, is translated (compiled) into a machine-specific code.

The problem of interest is therefore that of indicating a method which simplifies the loading and execution of the programs.

D4 describes the method of translating the program code known from D1 as extremely time-consuming and expensive (page 7, paragraph 2). To solve the problem, D4 indicates a basic method which enables a component of an automation system (i.e. machine control system) directly to understand a machine-independent program (model) and let the latter run directly. This solution is identical to the one indicated in claim 1. A person skilled in the art treating the problem of interest in the prior art

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004740

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

known from D1 by D4 expressis verbis would therefore arrive at the subject matter of claim 1 without being in the slightest inventive in so doing.

The same reasons and arguments also apply, *mutatis* mutandis, to independent claims 14, 23 and 24.

- 2. Dependent claims 2-13 and 15-22 constitute merely an agglomeration of well-known standard methods of object-oriented programming that do not substantiate an inventive step with respect to the cited documents D1-D5 and general technical knowledge.
- 3. Attention is drawn to the fact that, insofar as an examination of the application is requested under Chapter II of the PCT, a positive examination report is only possible if the subject matter of newly submitted independent claims 1 and 14 differs from the prior art (D1) by means of unique technical features which clearly relate to the subject matter disclosed. The applicant should advance substantiated arguments in the letter of response which show that the new independent claims meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to (3) with respect to the cited prior art (D1-D5).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004740

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

- The new independent claims should still be drafted in the two-part form pursuant to PCT Rule 6.3(b).
- Reference signs should be used in the claims (PCT Rule 6.2(b)).
- 3. The statement of the invention beginning on page 3, line 23 of the description should be brought into strict concordance with the content of the new claims.
- 4. The prior art shown in D1 and D4 should be acknowledged in the introduction.
- 5. When the claims are revised, care should be taken to ensure that there is a basis in the original documents for the subjects of the new claims (Article 41(2)). Any possible letter of response should therefore indicate precisely the original passages on which the amendments in the new claims are based.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004740

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Independent claims 23 and 24 are unclear for the following reasons (PCT Article 6):

- a) Independent claims 1 and 23, and 14 and 23, define the claimed subject matter multiply and differently in the same category.
- b) A computer program is not a physical object. It is therefore not possible to implement a physical device therewith (claim 23).
- c) The mere installation of a computer program on a data processing system constitutes nothing more than a storing of program data on the data processing device. The data processing device is therefore merely a kind of data carrier characterised by the data stored on it (claim 24).